

REPORT ON
SOIL INVESTIGATION FOR CONSTRUCTION OF
GIRL,BOYHOSTEL EDUCATIONAL BHAWAN,
PRINCIPAL-CUM-STAFF QUARTER BUILDING(G+4) AT DIET
AT PIRAUTA, BHOJPUR,BIHAR

Submitted to

CHIEF ENGINEER
BSEIDC, PATNA.

SHAMVWI CONSULTANT

414, Jagat Trade Centre,
Fraser Road, Patna – 800 001
Tel.: 0612 – 2950329, 2366308

Mobile: +919835218184,8986215718.

PREFACE

The present report on sub-soil investigation was carried out as per Chief Engineer, BSEIDC, Patna letter no BSEIDC/TECH/1960/2018-7139 dated 02.09.2023 .

The entire investigation process was broadly divided into two category –one field work and second was laboratory work.

Field work includes conducting SPT ,Dynamic cone test, collection of disturbed as well as undisturbed soil samples from different location and different depth of sub-soil strata.

It was tried to get information from local people to get an idea about variation of water table during different season of year and also to get first hand information about type of foundation usually provided in the locality.

We thanks Prof. M.P.Jakhanwal ,M.Tech ,Ph.D. ,Muzaffarpur Institute of Technology, Muzaffarpur for his valuable advice during laboratory test and during preparation of report.

Client's help is gratefully acknowledged in providing Bore hole locations, cooperation and guidance during finalization of report.

We belief that the present report will serve the purpose, for which sub-soil investigation has been carried out.



Subodh Kumar Sinha

Partner, Shamvvi Consultant

CONTENTS

SL.NO.	INDEX	PAGE NO.
1.	INTRODUCTION	1
2.	TOPOGRAPHY	1
3.	FIELD WORK	1
	3.1 BORING	1
	3.2 SAMPLING	2
4.	LABORATORY TEST	2-3
	4.1 SAMPLE EXTRACTION & PREPARATION OF TEST	3
	4.2 ROUTINE CLASSIFICATION TESTS	3
5.	PRESENTATION OF TEST RESULT	3
6.	METHOD FOR CALCULATION OF ALLOWABLE BEARING CAPACITY	2-4
	6.1 COHESIVE SOIL	2-3
	6.2 SOIL WITH VALUE OF C & Φ	3-4
7.	METHOD FOR CALCULATION OF CAPACITY OF PLANE REAM PILE	4
	7.1 PLANE PILE IN COHESIVE SOIL	4
8.	RECOMMENDATION	30-31

CONTENTS

TABLE NO.	CONTENTS	PAGE NO.
2-7	RESULTS OF DIFFERENT LABORATORY TESTS, FIELD TESTS & BORE HOLES DETAILS	6-11
8	SOIL STRATIFICATION	30

LIST OF FIGURE / GRAPHS

SL. NO.	CONTENTS	PAGE NO.
1.	BORE HOLE LOCATION PLAN	5
2.	TRIAxIAL /DIRECT TEST RESULTS GRAPH (MOHR'S CIRCLE)	12-14
3.	BORE LOG AND SPT GRAPH	15-17
4.	GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE	18-20

REPORT ON SUB-SOIL INVESTIGATION FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF GIRL, BOY
HOSTEL EDUCATIONAL BHAWAN, PRINCIPAL-CUM-STAFF QUARTER BUILDING(G+4)
AT DIET AT PIRAUTA, BHOJPUR, BIHAR.

1. INTRODUCTION

The objective of subsoil investigation reported here in, were taken up, to find out the nature of subsoil at the site of the proposed construction and to recommend the type or types of foundation suitable for it and the corresponding allowable bearing capacity.

The necessary field tests were carried out at the site. Soil samples from various depths in the different bore holes were collected, transported, carefully to the laboratory and tested to determine the engineering properties of the soil.

Based on the test results, certain recommendation were made and given in this report, regarding the type of foundation suitable for the proposed project and the allowable bearing capacity for certain sizes thereof.

2. TOPOGRAPHY

The land in question was even.

3. FIELD WORK

The field work consists of boring, soil sampling and conduct of Standard penetration tests and Dynamic cone penetration tests.

3.1 BORING

An appropriate number of boreholes of adequate depth were sunk at suitable spots as per direction of Engineer-in-charge. The details of the boreholes are given in table-1.

Table 1: Details of bore holes

DIAMETER OF BORE MM	DEPTH M	BORE HOLE
150	10.5	3 Bore Holes (BH-1 to BH-3)

The borings were kept dry while advancing through partially saturated soil. The position of water table in a borehole was recorded at least 48 hours after the stopping of the boring operation.

For boring below ground water level, the borehole was kept filled with water upto that level during boring.

3.2 SAMPLING

Undisturbed & disturbed samples were collected at different depth/where change of strata occurred. Identification slips were provided both inside and outside the tube.

On arrival in laboratory, the identification slips were checked against the boring and sampling records. Samples were extracted from the tubes just before testing.

3.3 STANDARD PENETRATION TEST

This test was performed in the boreholes at interval of depth of 1.5m, or at the change of strata/ as per IS: 2131 of 1963.

3.4 DYNAMIC CONE PENETRATION TEST

This test was performed when a bore hole could not be advanced to desired depth due to caving- in of the soil, or when it was felt necessary to supplement the information gained from SPT. This test was performed, as per relevant IS code till high value of penetration resistance was encountered or till desired depth of investigation was reached, at which stage the test was stopped.

4. LABORATORY TEST

Lab. Test was performed to determine the following properties of soil samples as per relevant I.S. code.

- (a) Natural moisture content.
- (b) Bulk density.
- (c) Atterberg's limits (on fine grained soil only)
- (d) Grain size analysis.
- (e) Specific gravity.
- (f) Shear test.
- (i) Unconfined/triaxial compression tests for fine-grained soils.
- (ii) Direct shear test for coarse-grained soils.
- (g) Consolidation tests for fine grained soils.
- (h) Organic content, chemical test etc.
- (i) pH of soil and water.
- (j) Free swell Index
- (k) Crushing strength test (uniaxial)

4.1 SAMPLE EXTRACTION & PREPARATION OF TEST SPECIMENS

Samples for different tests were prepared as per method described in relevant IS code/as per method described in standard book.

4.2 ROUTINE CLASSIFICATION TESTS.

Tests for the determination of natural moisture content, bulk density, Atterberg's limit, grain size distribution and specific gravity were performed as per IS code on representative disturbed soil samples, wherever felt necessary. The results were used in classifying the soils of different strata as per IS code 1498-1970.

5.0 PRESENTATION OF TEST RESULT

Results were presented in table form on the following pages.

6.0 METHOD FOR CALCULATION OF ALLOWABLE BEARING CAPACITY

6.1 COHESIVE SOIL

Net ultimate bearing capacity was calculated as per IS-6403-1981.

$$q_d = c N_c S_c D_c I_c$$

q_d = net ultimate bearing capacity

$$N_c = 5.14$$

$$S_c = 1 \text{ for strip footing}$$

$$D_c = 1 + 0.2 * D/B$$

$$I_c = 1 \text{ for vertical loading}$$

c = cohesion obtained through unconfined compression test for depth of $2B/3$ below the foundation.

Settlement criteria

$$S = H / (1 + e_0) * C_c * \log((p_0 + p_1) / p_0)$$

S = settlement

H = thickness of compressible layer

e_0 = initial void ratio

p_0 =initial effective pressure

p_1 =pressure increment

C_c =compression index

6.2 Soil with the value of c & θ

Net ultimate bearing capacity was calculated as per IS 6403-1981

$$Q_d = c N_c S_c D_c I_c + q (N_q - 1) S_q D_q I_q + 0.5 R^* B N_r^* S_r^* D_r^* I_r^* w'$$

For local shear failure

$$\tan \theta' = 0.67 \tan \theta$$

$$C' = 2 * c / 3$$

$S_c = S_q = S_r = 1$ for strip footing

$$D_c = 1 + 0.2 * (D/B) * \tan(45 + \theta/2)$$

$I_c = I_q = I_r = 1$ for vertical loading

$$D_q = D_r = 1 + 0.1 * (D/B) \tan(45 + \theta/2)$$

$$q = (R - R_w) * D$$

M = moisture content

R = bulk density of soil

R_w =unit weight of water

L.L.= liquid limit

P.L.=plastic limit

S.L.= shrinkage limit

D =depth below ground level

Settlement criteria

The net allowable bearing capacity for a permissible settlement of 25mm, may be obtained by Teng's formula

$$Q_{na} = 3.5 * (N-3) * \left\{ \frac{B+0.3}{2} * B \right\} * \left\{ \frac{B+0.3}{2} * B \right\} * w' * F_d$$

N = corrected N

$F_d = 1 + D/B$ less than or equal to 2

7.0 METHOD FOR CALCULATION OF CAPACITY OF CAST-IN-SITU PLANE PILE AS PER BIS 2911 Part I/Sec 2-1979

7.1 COHESIVE SOIL

Net ultimate bearing capacity of pile is given by :

$$Q = A_p * N_c * C_p + a * C * A_s$$

A_p = cross sectional area of pile toe in cm^2

N_c = Bearing capacity factor usually taken as 9

C_p = average cohesion at pile tip in Kg/cm

a = reduction factor

C = average cohesion throughout the length of pile in kg/cm^2

A_s = surface area of pile shaft in cm^2

8.0 METHOD FOR CALCULATION OF CAPACITY OF CAST-IN-SITU PLANE PILE AS PER BIS 2911 Part III-1980

8.1 COHESIVE SOIL

Net ultimate bearing capacity of pile is given by :

$$Q = A_p * N_c * C_p + A_a * N_c * C'_a + C'_a * A_s' + \alpha * C_a * A_s$$

A_p = cross sectional area of pile toe in cm^2

N_c = Bearing capacity factor usually taken as 9

C_p = cohesion of soil around toe.

α = reduction factor

$$A_a = \pi * (D_u^2 - D^2) / 4$$

C'_a = average cohesion around under ream

D_u = dia of under-ream, D = dia of pile



A_s = surface area of pile shaft in cm^2

A_s = surface area of stem

A_s' = surface area of the cylinder circumscribing the under ream.

DIET BUILDING AT PIRAUTA ARA

305



प्लॉट



JE 08.09.2
RSEDC
Paltan (E/D)

प्लॉट

ARA Bhojpur



C/O DIET BUILDING AT PIRAUTA ARA












SHAMVVI CONSULTANT .414.J.T.C., FRAS ER ROAD, PATNA		NAME OF PROJECT : SOIL INVESTIGATION FOR CONSTRUCTION OF GIRL BOYHOSTEL EDUCATIONAL BHAWAN, PRINCIPAL-CUM-STAFF QUARTER BUILDING(G+4) AT DIET AT PIRAUTA, BHOJPUR, BIHAR										BORING DATES		TERMINATION DEPTH : 15.0		TABLE NO : 2										
DEPTH OF SAMPLE		OBSERVED VALUE		CORRECTED VALUE		STANDARD PENETRATION RESISTANCE CURVE				GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS				ATTERBERG'S LIMITS			DENSITY			SHEAR TEST			UNCONFINED COMPRESSION TEST, q		BORE HOLE NO :BH1	
SAMPLE NO	DEPTH OF SAMPLE	OBSERVED VALUE	CORRECTED VALUE	GRAVEL (%)	SAND (%)	SILT (%)	CLAY (%)	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	BULK DENSITY (gm/cm ³)	DRY DENSITY (gm/cm ³)	NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	SPECIFIC GRAVITY	TYPE OF TEST	COHESION c (kg/cm ²)	ANGLE OF FRICTION IN DEGREE	VOID RATIO eo	COMPRESSION INDEX Cc	UNCONFINED COMPRESSION TEST, q	COEFFICIENT OF VOLUME COMPRESSIBILITY MV cm ³ /kg	START :08.09.2023	WATER TABLE DEPTH :	BORE HOLE NO :BH1		
DS	G.L.																									
DS1																										
SPT1	1.5	13		0.4	72.60	27.0		Non-plastic			1.92	1.62	18.8	2.66	DST	0	30.00									
DS2																										
SPT2	3	23		0.4	78.10	21.5		Non-plastic			1.92	1.62	18.8	2.66	DST	0	30.00									
DS3																										
SPT3	4.5	36		0.5	91.30	8.2		Non-plastic			1.92	1.72	11.6	2.66	DST	0	30.00									
UDS 4																										
SPT4	6	22		0.6	91.10	8.3		Non-plastic			1.92	1.73	11.2	2.66	DST	0	30.00									
UUT : UNCONSOLIDATED UNDRAINED TRIAXIAL SHEAR TEST				UCT : UNCONFINED COMPRESSION SHEAR TEST				DST : DIRECT SHEAR TEST																		
! SAMPLE SLIPPED ~ TEST ON REMOULDED SAMPLE				UDS : UNDISTURBED SAMPLE				SPT : STANDARD PENETRATION TEST VALUE																		
NOTES : CONSOLIDATION TEST RESULTS ARE FOR THE LOADING RANGE OF 5.0-10.0 t/m ²																										










SHAMVVI CONSULTANT 414, J.T.C., FRASER ROAD, PATNA		NAME OF PROJECT : SOIL INVESTIGATION FOR CONSTRUCTION OF GIRL BOY HOSTEL EDUCATIONAL BHAWAN, PRINCIPAL-CUM-STAFF QUARTER BUILDING(G+4) AT DIET AT PIRAUTA, BHOJPUR, BIHAR										BORING DATES		TERMINATION DEPTH : 10.5m		TABLE NO : 4					
SPT BLOWS PER 30 CM		STANDARD PENETRATION RESISTANCE CURVE		GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS				ATTERBERG'S LIMITS			DENSITY		SHEAR TEST		CONSISTENCY LIMITS		UNCONFINED COMPRESSION TEST, q _u				
SAMPLE NO	DEPTH OF SAMPLE	OBSERVED VALUE	CORRECTED VALUE	GRAVEL (%)	SAND (%)	SILT (%)	CLAY (%)	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	BULK DENSITY (gm/cm ³)	DRY DENSITY (gm/cm ³)	NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	SPECIFIC GRAVITY	TYPE OF TEST	COHESION c (kg/cm ²)	ANGLE OF FRICTION IN DEGREE	VOID RATIO e _o	COMPRESSION INDEX C _c	COEFFICIENT OF VOLUME COMPRESSIONITY M _v	
																					GRAVEL (%)
DS	G.L.																				
DS1																					
SPT1	1.5	12		0.0	71.30	28.7		Non-plastic			1.92	1.63	17.6	2.66	DST	0	30.00				
DS2																					
SPT2	3	22		0.4	77.60	22.0		Non-plastic			1.92	1.63	17.5	2.66	DST	0	30.00				
DS3																					
SPT3	4.5	34		0.5	90.70	8.8		Non-plastic			1.92	1.73	10.8	2.66	DST	0	30.00				
DS4																					
SPT4	6	20		0.4	91.50	8.1		Non-plastic			1.92	1.72	11.6	2.66	DST	0	30.00				
UUT : UNCONSOLIDATED UNDRAINED TRIAXIAL SHEAR TEST		UCT : UNCONFINED COMPRESSION SHEAR TEST		DST : DIRECT SHEAR TEST		SPT : STANDARD PENETRATION TEST VALUE															
i SAMPLE SLIPPED ~ TEST ON REMOULDED SAMPLE		UDS : UNDISTURBED SAMPLE																			
NOTES : CONSOLIDATION TEST RESULTS ARE FOR THE LOADING RANGE OF 5.0-10.0 t/m ²																					

SAMPLE NO	DEPTH OF SAMPLE	OBSERVED VALUE	CORRECTED VALUE	STANDARD PENETRATION RESISTANCE CURVE			VISUAL DESCRIPTION OF SOIL WITH B.S. CLASSIFICATION	GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS					ATTERBERG'S LIMITS			DENSITY		NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT (%)		SPECIFIC GRAVITY	TYPE OF TEST	SHEAR TEST			CONSISTENCY LIMITS			UNCONFINED COMPRESSION TEST q_u (kg/cm ²)	COEFFICIENT OF VOLUME COMPRESSIONITY M_v (cm ³ /kg)	TABLE NO :7
				DEPTH	RESISTANCE	RESISTANCE		RESISTANCE	GRAVEL (%)	SAND (%)	SILT (%)	CLAY (%)	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	BULK DENSITY (gm/cm ³)	DRY DENSITY (gm/cm ³)	NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	COHESION c (kg/cm ²)			ANGLE OF FRICTION IN DEGREE	VOID RATIO e_o	COMPRESSION INDEX C_c						
DS5				5	10	20	Sand SP	0.0	92.10	7.9			Non-plastic	1.92	1.74	10.6	2.68	DST	0	30.00										
SPT5	7.5	24					Sand SP	0.6	91.50	7.9			Non-plastic	1.92	1.72	11.5	2.68	DST	0	30.00										
DS6																														
SPT6	9.0	27					Sand SP	0.4	91.90	7.7			Non-plastic	1.92	1.72	11.5	2.68	DST	0	30.00										
DS7																														
SPT7	10.5	26					Sand SP																							
UUT : UNCONSOLIDATED UNDRAINED TRIAXIAL SHEAR TEST				UCT : UNCONFINED COMPRESSION SHEAR TEST													DST : DIRECT SHEAR TEST													
I SAMPLE SLIPPED ~ TEST ON REMOULDED SAMPLE				UDS : UNDISTURBED SAMPLE													SPT : STANDARD PENETRATION TEST VALUE													
NOTES : CONSOLIDATION TEST RESULTS ARE FOR THE LOADING RANGE OF 5.0-10.0 t/m ²																														










TRIAxIAL/DIRECT TEST RESULT




TRIAxIAL/DIRECT TEST RESULT



TRIAxIAL/DIRECT TEST RESULT




BORE LOG AND DEPTH ~ SPT GRAPH FOR C/D (GIRL,BOYHOSTEL EDUCATIONAL BHAWAN, PRINCIPAL-CUM-STAFF QUARTER BUILDING(G+4) AT DIET AT PIRAUTA, BHOJPUR,BIHAR



BORE LOG
BH1


BORE LOG AND DEPTH ~ SPT GRAPH FOR C/O (GIRL,BOYHOSTEL EDUCATIONAL BHAWAN, PRINCIPAL-CUM-STAFF QUARTER BUILDING(G+4) AT DIET AT PIRAUTA, BHOJPUR,BIHAR



SAND SP

BORE LOG
BH2


BORE LOG AND DEPTH ~ SPT GRAPH FOR C/O GIRL,BOYHOSTEL EDUCATIONAL BHAWAN, PRINCIPAL-CUM-STAFF QUARTER BUILDING(G+4) AT DIET AT PIRAUTA, BHOJPUR,BIHAR




SAND SP

BORE LOG
BH3


GIRL,BOYHOSTEL EDUCATIONAL BHAWAN, PRINCIPAL-CUM-STAFF QURT BUILDING(G+4)
AT DIET, PIRAUTA, BHOJPUR,BIHAR




GIRL,BOYHOSTEL EDUCATIONAL BHAWAN, PRINCIPAL-CUM-STAFF QURT BUILDING(G+4)
AT DIET, PIRAUTA, BHOJPUR,BIHAR




GIRL,BOYHOSTEL EDUCATIONAL BHAWAN, PRINCIPAL-CUM-STAFF QURT BUILDING(G+4)
AT DIET, PIRAUTA, BHOJPUR,BIHAR




GIRL,BOYHOSTEL EDUCATIONAL BHAWAN, PRINCIPAL-CUM-STAFF QURT BUILDING(G+4)
AT DIET, PIRAUTA, BHOJPUR,BIHAR




GIRL,BOYHOSTEL EDUCATIONAL BHAWAN, PRINCIPAL-CUM-STAFF QURT BUILDING(G+4)
AT DIET, PIRAUTA, BHOJPUR,BIHAR




GIRL,BOYHOSTEL EDUCATIONAL BHAWAN, PRINCIPAL-CUM-STAFF QURT BUILDING(G+4)
AT DIET, PIRAUTA, BHOJPUR,BIHAR




GIRL,BOYHOSTEL EDUCATIONAL BHAWAN, PRINCIPAL-CUM-STAFF QURT BUILDING(G+4)
AT DIET, PIRAUTA, BHOJPUR,BIHAR




GIRL,BOYHOSTEL EDUCATIONAL BHAWAN, PRINCIPAL-CUM-STAFF QURT BUILDING(G+4)
AT DIET, PIRAUTA, BHOJPUR,BIHAR




GIRL,BOYHOSTEL EDUCATIONAL BHAWAN, PRINCIPAL-CUM-STAFF QURT BUILDING(G+4)
AT DIET, PIRAUTA, BHOJPUR,BIHAR




GIRL,BOYHOSTEL EDUCATIONAL BHAWAN, PRINCIPAL-CUM-STAFF QURT BUILDING(G+4)
AT DIET, PIRAUTA, BHOJPUR,BIHAR




GIRL,BOYHOSTEL EDUCATIONAL BHAWAN, PRINCIPAL-CUM-STAFF QURT BUILDING(G+4)
AT DIET, PIRAUTA, BHOJPUR,BIHAR




GIRL,BOYHOSTEL EDUCATIONAL BHAWAN, PRINCIPAL-CUM-STAFF QURT BUILDING(G+4)
AT DIET, PIRAUTA, BHOJPUR,BIHAR




GIRL,BOYHOSTEL EDUCATIONAL BHAWAN, PRINCIPAL-CUM-STAFF QURT BUILDING(G+4)
AT DIET, PIRAUTA, BHOJPUR,BIHAR




GIRL,BOYHOSTEL EDUCATIONAL BHAWAN, PRINCIPAL-CUM-STAFF QURT BUILDING(G+4)
AT DIET, PIRAUTA, BHOJPUR,BIHAR




GIRL,BOYHOSTEL EDUCATIONAL BHAWAN, PRINCIPAL-CUM-STAFF QURT BUILDING(G+4)
AT DIET, PIRAUTA, BHOJPUR,BIHAR




GIRL,BOYHOSTEL EDUCATIONAL BHAWAN, PRINCIPAL-CUM-STAFF QURT BUILDING(G+4)
AT DIET, PIRAUTA, BHOJPUR,BIHAR




GIRL,BOYHOSTEL EDUCATIONAL BHAWAN, PRINCIPAL-CUM-STAFF QURT BUILDING(G+4)
AT DIET, PIRAUTA, BHOJPUR,BIHAR




GIRL,BOYHOSTEL EDUCATIONAL BHAWAN, PRINCIPAL-CUM-STAFF QURT BUILDING(G+4)
AT DIET, PIRAUTA, BHOJPUR,BIHAR




GIRL,BOYHOSTEL EDUCATIONAL BHAWAN, PRINCIPAL-CUM-STAFF QURT BUILDING(G+4)
AT DIET, PIRAUTA, BHOJPUR,BIHAR



GIRL,BOYHOSTEL EDUCATIONAL BHAWAN, PRINCIPAL-CUM-STAFF QURT BUILDING(G+4)
AT DIET, PIRAUTA, BHOJPUR,BIHAR



GIRL,BOYHOSTEL EDUCATIONAL BHAWAN, PRINCIPAL-CUM-STAFF QURT BUILDING(G+4)
AT DIET, PIRAUTA, BHOJPUR,BIHAR



NAME OF PROJECT : SOIL INVESTIGATION FOR CONSTRUCTION OF GIRL,BOYHOSTEL EDUCATIONAL BHAWAN, PRINCIPAL-CUM-STAFF QUARTER BUILDING(G+4) AT DIET AT PIRAUTA, BHOJPUR,BIHAR

Calculation of Net safe Bearing Capacity for Strip Footing


Table 1 BEARING CAPACITY FACTORS AS PER IS 6403 : 1981

Angle of shearing resistance of soil, phi	Nc	Nq	Ny	
0	5.14	1	0	
5	6.49	1.57	0.45	
10	8.35	2.47	1.22	
15	10.98	3.94	2.65	
20	14.83	6.4	5.39	
25	20.72	10.66	10.88	
30	30.14	18.4	22.4	
35	46.12	33.3	48.03	
40	75.31	64.2	109.41	
45	138.88	134.88	271.76	
50	266.89	319.07	762.89	
Depth of footing below GL in meter, B=	1.5			
Effective depth of soil formation	3			
Average cohesion of soil mobilised in Ton/m2=	3.5			
unit weight of soil in ton/m2, y=	0.00			
Angle of shearing resistance of soil, phi, in degree =	1.92			
Effective Angle of shearing resistance of soil, phi, in degree =	30.00	Corresponding Nc/N'c= 16.18	Corresponding Nq/N'q= 7.38	Corresponding Ny/N'y= 6.65
Depth factor, dc=	21.15	Corresponding Nc/N'c= 16.18	Corresponding Nq/N'q= 7.38	Corresponding Ny/N'y= 6.65
Depth factor, dq=	1.15	dc=1+0.2*(Df/B)*tan(45+phi/2)		
Depth factor, dy=	1.07	dq=1+0.1*(Df/B)*tan(45+phi/2) if phi >10 otherwise dq=1		
effective surcharge at base level of foundation, q=yD	1.07	dy=1+0.1*(Df/B)*tan(45+phi/2) if phi >10 otherwise dy=1		
Q1 ton/m2 =	2.9	q=yD		
Q2 ton/m2 =	0.00	Q1=(2/3)*c*N'c*dc		
Q3 ton/m2 =	19.80	Q2=q*(N'q-1)*dq		
ultimate bearing capacity Q ton/m2 =	4.91	Q3=(1/2)*B*y*N'y*dy*		
Factor of safety, F.S. =	24.71	Q=Q1+Q2+Q3		
Net Safe Bearing Capacity in ton/m2 q=	3	q=Q1/F.S.		
		Bearing capacity from Fig 9 of above code= 12 t/m2		
		Min Bearing capacity= 8.2 t/m2		
		Since Pressure bulb falls in sand also, so Bearing capacity shall be determined from IS 8009 Part1 also. Critical one shall be considered.		

Calculation of Net safe Bearing Capacity for Isolated Square/Rectangular Footing

Footing size	Length L	Width B in	
	in meter	meter	
	3	3	
Shape factors	Sc	Sq	Sy
	1.3	1.2	0.8
Q1 ton/m2 =	0.00	$Q1 = (2/3) * c * Nc * dc * Sc$	
Q2 ton/m2 =	23.76	$Q2 = q * (Nq - 1) * dq * Sq$	
Q3 ton/m2 =	3.93	$Q3 = (1/2) * B * y * Ny * dy * Sy * W$	
ultimate bearing capacity Q ton/m2 =	27.69	$Q = Q1 + Q2 + Q3$	
Factor of safety, F.S. =	3		
Net Safe Bearing Capacity in ton/m2	9.23	$q = Q1 / F.S.$	

Pile Design



Pile Dia= 450 mm

A_p =base area= 0.159 mm²

Overburden Pressure corresponding to L(6.75m)= 6.1425 t/m²

Strata I

φ	Nc	Nq	Ny	Average c=	c at end	α	γ
30	30.14	18.400	22.40	0	0	1.00	1.91

Top of Strata
Depth= 0.000 Average γ= 1.91 t/m³

Pressure= 0.000

due to submerged soil

Effective length for overburden estimation=(15x0.45m)= 6.75 m

Pressure(Limiting at top of Strata)= 6.140 t/m²

End of Strata

Overburden Pressure corresponding to L(15x0.45m)=6.75m 6.14 t/m²

Depth= 0.500

Average γ= 1.91 t/m³

Pressure= 0.455 t/m²

due to submerged soil

Pressure at end of strata= 0.455 not grater than limiting

Average Pressure in Strata for end bearing= 3.2975 t/m²

Average Pressure in Strata for skin bearing= 3.2975 t/m²

Surface area of Starata I= 0.707 m²

Capacity due to fine grained soil

Ø	30	40	For φ=30 Degree
---	----	----	-----------------

$Q_{skin} = \alpha c A_s = 0.0 \text{ t}$

$Q_{end} = A_p N_c C_p = 0.0 \text{ t}$

Capacity due to coarse grained soil

$k = 1 \quad \delta = 30 \quad N_q = 18.4$

Skin friction in ton $Q_s = k \cdot P_d \cdot \tan(\delta) \cdot A_s = 1 \times 3.2975 \times \tan(\pi \times 30 / 180) \times 0.707 = 1.3 \text{ t}$

End bearing in ton $Q_b = A_p \cdot [0.5 \cdot D^* \cdot y \cdot N_y + P_d \cdot N_q] = 0.159 \times (0.5 \times 0.45) \times (1.91 - 1) \times 22.4 + 0.455 \times 18.4 = 2.1 \text{ t}$

K	1	1.5	1
Critical Depth factor	15	20	15.0

Strata II	ϕ	Nc	Nq	Ny	Average c= c=	c at end	α	γ
	30	30.14	18.400	22.40	0	0	1.00	1.91

Top of Strata

Depth= 0.500 Average γ = 1.91 t/m³
 Pressure= 0.455 due to submerged soil

Effective length for overburden estimation=(15x0.45m)= 6.75 m

Pressure(Limiting at top of Strata)= 0.455 t/m²

End of Strata

Overburden Pressure corresponding to L(15x0.45m)=6.75m 6.14 t/m²

Depth= 1.500 Average γ = 1.91 t/m³
 Pressure= 1.365 t/m² due to submerged soil

Pressure at end of strata= 1.365 not grater than limiting

Average Pressure in Strata for end bearing= 0.910 t/m²

Average Pressure in Strata for skin bearing= 0.91 t/m²

Surface area of Starata II= 1.414 m²

Capacity due to fine grained soil

Q skin= $\Sigma \alpha c A_s$ = 0.0 t

Q end= $A_p N_c C_p$ = 0.0 t

Capacity due to coarse grained soil

k= 1 delta= 30 Nq = 18.4

Skin friction in ton $Q_s = k \cdot P_d \cdot \tan(\delta) \cdot A_s =$
 $= 1 \times 0.91 \times \text{TAN}(\pi \times 30 / 180) \times 1.414 =$

0.74 t

End bearing in ton $= Q_b = A_p \cdot [0.5 \cdot D \cdot \gamma \cdot N_y + P_d \cdot N_q] =$
 $= 0.159 \times (0.5 \times (0.45) \times (1.91 - 1) \times 22.4 + 1.365 \times 18.4) =$

4.7 t

	30	40	For $\phi=30$ Degree
ϕ	30	40	
K	1	1.5	1
Critical Depth factor	15	20	15.0

Strata III

	ϕ	Nc	Nq	Ny	Average c= c=	c at end	α	γ
	30	30.14	18.400	22.40	0	0	1.00	1.91

Top of Strata

Depth= 1.500 Average γ = 1.91 t/m³
 Pressure= 1.365 due to submerged soil

Effective length for overburden estimation=(15x0.45m)= 6.75 m

Pressure(Limiting at top of Strata)= 1.365 t/m²

End of Strata

Overburden Pressure corresponding to L(15x0.45m)=6.75m 6.14 t/m²

Depth= 3.000 Average γ = 1.91 t/m³
 Pressure= 2.730 t/m² due to submerged soil

Pressure at end of strata= 2.730 not greater than limiting
 Average Pressure in Strata for end bearing= 2.0475 t/m²
 Average Pressure in Strata for skin bearing= 2.05
 Surface area of Starata III= 2.121 m²

Capacity due to fine grained soil

Q skin= $\lambda \alpha c A_s = 0.000 \text{ t}$

Q end= $A_p N_c C_p = 0.000 \text{ t}$

Capacity due to coarse grained soil

$k = 1$ $\delta = 30$

Skin friction in ton $Q_s = k \cdot P_d \cdot \tan(\delta) \cdot A_s =$

$= 1 \times 2.05 \times \text{TAN}(\pi \times 30 / 180) \times 2.121 =$

2.510 t

End bearing in ton $= Q_b = A_p \cdot [0.5 \cdot D \cdot \gamma \cdot N_y + P_d \cdot N_q] =$

$= 0.159 \times (0.5 \times (0.45) \times (1.91 - 1) \times 22.4 + 2.73 \times 20) =$

9.411 t

ϕ	30	40	For $\phi=30$ Degree
K	1	1.5	1
Critical Depth factor	15	20	15.0

Strata IV

ϕ Nc Nq Ny Average c= c at end α γ
 30 30.14 18.400 22.40 0 0 1.00 1.91
 Top of Strata
 Depth= 3.000 Average γ = 1.91 t/m3
 Pressure= 2.730 due to submerged soil
 Effective length for overburden estimation=(15x0.45m)= 6.75 m
 Pressure(Limiting at top of Strata)= 2.730 t/m2
 End of Strata
 Overburden Pressure corresponding to L(15x0.45m)=6.75m 6.14 t/m2
 Depth= 5.500 Average γ = 1.91 t/m3
 Pressure= 5.005 t/m2 due to submerged soil
 Pressure at end of strata= 5.005 not grater than limiting
 Avarage Pressure in Strata for end bearing= 3.8675 t/m2
 Avarage Pressure in Strata for skin bearing= 3.87
 Surface area of Starata IV= 3.534 m2

Capacity due to fine grained soil

Q skin= $\xi \alpha c A_s$ = 0.000 t

Q end= $A_p N_c C_p$ = 0.000 t

Capacity due to coarse grained soil

$k = 1$ $\delta = 30$ $N_q = 20$
 Skin friction in ton $Q_s = k * P_d * \tan(\delta) * A_s =$
 $= 1 * 3.87 * \text{TAN}(\pi * 30 / 180) * 3.534 =$ 7.896 t
 End bearing in ton $= Q_b = A_p * [0.5 * D * \gamma * N_y + P_d * N_q] =$
 $= 0.159 * (0.5 * (0.45) * (1.91 - 1)) * 2 =$ 16.645

			For $\phi=30$
ϕ	30	40	Degree
K	1	1.5	1
Critical Depth factor	15	20	15.0

Strata V

ϕ Nc Nq Ny Average c at end α γ
 30 30.14 18.400 22.40 0 0 1.00 1.91
 Top of Strata
 Depth= 5.500 Average γ = 1.91 t/m3
 Pressure= 5.005 due to submerged soil
 Effective length for overburden estimation=(15x0.45m)= 6.75 m
 Pressure(Limiting at top of Strata)= 5.005 t/m2
 End of Strata
 Overburden Pressure corresponding to L(15x0.45m)=6.75m 6.14 t/m2
 Depth= 11.000 Average γ = 1.91 t/m3
 Pressure= 10.010 t/m2 due to submerged soil
 Pressure at end of strata= 6.140 not grater than limiting
 Avarage Pressure in Strata for end bearing= 5.5725 t/m2
 Avarage Pressure in Strata for skin bearing= 6.14
 Surface area of Starata IV= 7.775 m2

Capacity due to fine grained soil

			For $\phi=30$
ϕ	30	40	Degree

$Q_{skin} = \epsilon \alpha c A_s = 0.000 \text{ t}$

K	1	1.5	1
Critical Depth factor	15	20	15.0

$Q_{end} = A_p N_c C_p = 0.000 \text{ t}$

Capacity due to coarse grained soil

$k = 1 \quad \delta = 30 \quad N_q = 20$

Skin friction in ton $Q_s = k \cdot P_d \cdot \tan(\delta) \cdot A_s =$

$= 1 \times 6.14 \times \tan(\pi \times 30 / 180) \times 7.775 =$

27.562 t

End bearing in ton $Q_b = A_p \cdot [0.5 \cdot D \cdot \gamma \cdot N_y + P_d \cdot N_q] =$

$= 0.159 \times (0.5 \times 0.45) \times (1.91 - 1) \times 22.4 + 6.14 \times 20 =$

20.254 t

Capacity of Pile

Dia= 450 mm

Depth= 5.500 M

Capacity= $(1.3)+(0.74) + (2.51)+(24.541)=$ 29.09 t

F.S.= 2.500

Safe Capacity= 11.6 t

Table 8

Soil stratification

DEPTH	SOIL TYPE	CONSISTANCY	CLASSIFICATION
0.0-10.5	SAND	MEDIUM	SP

RECOMMENDATION

The present report is prepared on the basis of lab. Test result & field test conducted in the field.

The lab. test result is obtained by conducting different test on representative sample obtained through 3 no. of bore holes whose location and depth were decided by BSEIDC and shown in the bore hole location plan.

The laboratory test of soil samples obtained in all bore holes are given in Tables 2-7. Study of these tables reveals that the sub-soil strata :

- (a) Soil strata consist of coarse grained soil.

Therefore, foundation should be placed at 1.50m or beyond the ground level. Both, shallow as well as deep, foundations are feasible. Bore Hole may cave in. Therefore, Bentonite slurry or casing is required for the bore hole stabilization.

By way of example the calculated value of safe capacity of certain type and size of Shallow foundation are being tabulated below: -

Shallow foundation

STRIP FOOTING

Depth below GL (m)	Width of foundation (m)	Maximum expected settlement (mm)	Allowable Bearing capacity (t/m ²)
1.5	3.0	50	8.0
2.0	3.0	50	9.5

SQUARE FOOTING

Depth below GL (m)	Length x Width of foundation (m)x(m)	Maximum expected settlement (mm)	Allowable Bearing capacity (t/m ²)
1.5	3.0	50	9.0
2.0	3.0	50	10.5

GIRL,BOYHOSTEL EDUCATIONAL BHAWAN, PRINCIPAL-CUM-STAFF QUARTER
BUILDING(G+4) AT DIET AT PIRAUTA, BHOJPUR,BIHAR

RAFT

Depth below GL (m)	Width of foundation (m)	Maximum expected settlement (mm)	Allowable Bearing capacity (t/m ²)
1.5	15.0	75	11.0

By way of example the calculated value of safe capacity of certain diameter of plane pile using IS : 2911 (Part I, Sec. 2) 2010, Appendix B. Clause B-1 are being tabulated below: -

PLANE PILE

Depth of Pile below GL(m)	Dia of Plane Pile (m)	Allowable Capacity (Ton)
5.5	0.45	10.5
5.5	0.5	11

Limitation

If the sub-soil condition is found much different from those reported here during trenching, suitable steps should be taken. Back filling over footing shall be done with proper compaction.

Bearing capacity shall be confirmed by plate load test as per relevant Indian codes.

Pile capacity shall be confirmed by Initial and Routine pile load test, before starting the work, as per relevant Indian codes.

Subodh Kumar Sinha

SUBODH KUMAR SINHA
Partner. Shamvwi consultant